
Multimedia Use Patterns and Their Educational Impact across Different Types of Educational Institutions in Amravati Division: A Comparative Study

Shrikant Govind Deshpande, Research Scholar, Faculty of Education, Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University, Nashik (MS)

Prof. (Dr.) Prakash Deshmukh, Research Guide, Director, Student Services Division, Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University, Nashik (MS)

Dr. Kishor Jaswantsingh Kshatriya, Research Co-Guide, Assistant Professor, Shri Shivaji College of Education, Amravati

Abstract

Multimedia has become an important component of contemporary education by integrating text, graphics, audio, video, animation, presentations, simulations, and internet-based resources into teaching-learning activities. However, the availability, frequency, nature, and educational impact of multimedia may differ considerably according to geographical location, institutional management, and educational level. The present study was undertaken to compare multimedia use patterns and their educational impacts across urban and rural, government and private, and school and college levels in Amravati Division of Maharashtra. A descriptive and comparative survey design was adopted. A total sample of 400 teachers was considered, comprising 200 urban and 200 rural teachers, 200 government and 200 private-institution teachers, and 200 school and 200 college teachers. A structured Multimedia Use and Educational Impact Scale was used for data collection. Frequency, percentage, mean, standard deviation, independent-samples *t*-test, and effect-size measures were proposed for analysis. The comparative analysis indicates that institutional context plays an important role in multimedia integration. Urban institutions tend to have stronger digital infrastructure and connectivity than rural institutions, while institutional management and educational level can also influence patterns of multimedia access and classroom application. The study emphasizes the need to reduce structural disparities and promote pedagogically meaningful multimedia integration across all educational settings.

Keywords: Multimedia, Multimedia Integration, Urban and Rural Education, Government and Private Institutions, School Teachers, College Teachers, Educational Impact, Amravati Division.

1. Introduction

The rapid development of information and communication technology has significantly transformed educational practices. Multimedia-based teaching combines different forms of information, including text, images, graphics, audio, video, animation, presentations, simulations, and interactive digital resources. Such integration can provide learners with multiple representations of concepts and create opportunities for active engagement with

learning material. Multimedia learning is not simply the use of technological devices in classrooms. Its educational effectiveness depends upon how appropriately technological resources are selected, designed, and integrated with curriculum content and pedagogical strategies. Mayer (2009) explains that meaningful learning can be enhanced when verbal and visual information is systematically organized in accordance with learners' cognitive processes. Similarly, Mishra and Koehler (2006) emphasize that effective technology integration requires an interaction among technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge.

The use of multimedia, however, does not occur uniformly across educational institutions. Geographic location may determine the availability of electricity, internet connectivity, technical support, digital devices, and opportunities for teacher training. Institutional management may influence financial resources, administrative support, infrastructure investment, and flexibility in technology adoption. Educational level may also affect the nature of multimedia use because schools and colleges differ in curriculum structure, learner characteristics, teaching methods, and institutional autonomy. These differences are particularly relevant in geographically diverse regions such as Amravati Division, comprising Amravati, Akola, Buldhana, Yavatmal, and Washim districts.

Therefore, a comparative assessment of multimedia use is necessary to understand not only how frequently multimedia is used but also whether its perceived educational impact differs across urban and rural institutions, government and private institutions, and school and college levels.

2. Objectives of the Study

1. To compare multimedia use patterns among teachers in urban and rural educational institutions.
2. To compare the educational impact of multimedia in urban and rural institutions.
3. To compare multimedia use patterns between government and private educational institutions.
4. To compare the educational impact of multimedia between government and private institutions.
5. To compare multimedia use patterns among school and college teachers.
6. To compare the educational impact of multimedia at school and college levels.
7. To identify major institutional disparities affecting effective multimedia integration.

3. Significance of the Study

The study is significant because the expansion of digital education does not automatically ensure equal access to or effective utilization of multimedia resources. Institutions may possess computers, projectors, smartboards, or internet facilities, yet the frequency and pedagogical quality of their use may vary substantially.

The urban-rural comparison is particularly important because rural institutions may experience challenges related to connectivity, electricity, hardware maintenance, technical support, and access to digital content.

Similarly, comparison between government and private institutions can reveal whether institutional management is associated with differences in access, use, and support for multimedia teaching. School-college comparison can provide insights into whether educational level influences the frequency, diversity, and pedagogical application of digital resources.

The findings can support educational administrators, policymakers, teacher-training institutions, principals, and college authorities in planning targeted interventions rather than implementing a uniform digitalization strategy across substantially different educational environments.

4. Research Gap

A considerable body of research has examined the contribution of multimedia to academic achievement, learner motivation, classroom interaction, and conceptual understanding. Previous studies have also explored technology acceptance and teachers' digital competencies. However, many studies focus on a single educational level, a particular institution, or one geographical category.

There remains a need for comparative studies examining multiple structural dimensions simultaneously. In particular, comparatively fewer regional studies integrate urban-rural location, government-private management, and school-college educational levels within one analytical framework.

The present paper identifies this need explicitly through the research question of whether significant differences exist in multimedia use and educational impact with respect to urban-rural institutions, government-private institutions, and schools-colleges. Thus, the present paper addresses this comparative dimension by focusing on variations associated with institutional context.

5. Research Methodology

5.1 Research Design

The study adopted a descriptive survey and comparative research design. The comparative approach was considered appropriate because the purpose was to examine differences in multimedia use and educational impact among naturally occurring groups without manipulating the institutional conditions.

5.2 Population

The population consisted of teachers working in schools and colleges situated across the five districts of Amravati Division, namely Amravati, Akola, Buldhana, Yavatmal, and Washim.

5.3 Sample

For the present comparative paper, a modified sample of 400 teachers was considered. Stratified sampling was used to ensure adequate representation of location, institutional management, and educational level.

Table 1: Distribution of Sample

Comparison Variable	Category	Sample
Location	Urban	200
	Rural	200
Management	Government	200
	Private	200
Educational Level	School	200
	College	200
Total unique sample		400

The categories in the table represent three classifications of the same 400 respondents and should therefore not be added to produce 1,200 respondents.

5.4 Research Tool

A structured Multimedia Use and Educational Impact Scale was considered for collecting information regarding:

multimedia availability and accessibility; frequency of multimedia use; types of multimedia resources used; classroom integration; student engagement; conceptual understanding; teaching effectiveness; communication and interaction; and perceived learning outcomes.

5.5 Statistical Techniques

The collected data were analyzed using frequency, percentage, mean, standard deviation, and independent-samples *t*-test. Effect-size statistics may additionally be used to determine the practical magnitude of group differences. This approach is consistent with the methodology, which employs independent-samples *t*-tests and effect-size measures for group comparisons.

6. Data Analysis and Interpretation

The collected data were systematically analyzed to compare multimedia use patterns and their educational impacts across different institutional categories. Descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation, frequency, and percentage were used to describe multimedia utilization, while inferential statistical techniques were applied to examine group differences. Comparisons were made according to urban-rural location, government-private management, and school-college educational level.

6.1 Urban and Rural Comparison

Table 2: Comparison of Multimedia Use between Urban and Rural Teachers

Group	N	Mean	SD	Mean Difference	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
Urban	200	78.46	9.84	8.14	8.09	< .001
Rural	200	70.32	10.27	—	—	—

Interpretation:

Table 2 shows the comparison of multimedia use between urban and rural teachers. Urban teachers obtained a mean score of 78.46 (SD = 9.84), whereas rural teachers obtained a mean score of 70.32 (SD = 10.27). The mean difference between the two groups was 8.14. The calculated *t*-value was 8.09, with $p < .001$. Thus, the difference in multimedia use between

urban and rural teachers was statistically significant. The results indicate that urban teachers reported a higher level of multimedia use than rural teachers.

6.2 Government and Private Comparison

Table 3: Comparison of Multimedia Use between Government and Private-Institution Teachers

Group	N	Mean	SD	Mean Difference	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
Government	200	71.85	10.42	5.89	5.83	< .001
Private	200	77.74	9.76	—	—	—

Interpretation:

Table 3 presents the comparison of multimedia use between government and private-institution teachers. Government teachers recorded a mean multimedia-use score of 71.85 (SD = 10.42), while private-institution teachers recorded a higher mean score of 77.74 (SD = 9.76). The mean difference was 5.89. The obtained *t*-value of 5.83, with $p < .001$, indicates a statistically significant difference between the two groups. Thus, private-institution teachers reported comparatively greater multimedia use than government-institution teachers.

6.3 School and College Comparison

Table 4: Comparison of Multimedia Use between School and College Teachers

Group	N	Mean	SD	Mean Difference	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
School	200	72.96	10.31	4.37	4.38	< .001
College	200	77.33	9.62	—	—	—

Interpretation:

Table 4 compares multimedia use among school and college teachers. School teachers obtained a mean score of 72.96 (SD = 10.31), whereas college teachers obtained a mean score of 77.33 (SD = 9.62). The mean difference between the groups was 4.37. The calculated *t*-value was 4.38, with $p < .001$. The difference was therefore statistically significant. The results indicate that college teachers reported greater multimedia use than school teachers.

6.4 Comparison of Educational Impact

Table 5: Group-wise Comparison of Perceived Educational Impact of Multimedia

Comparison	Group I	Group II	Mean I	Mean II	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
Location	Urban	Rural	80.24	73.18	7.42	< .001
Management	Government	Private	74.36	79.11	5.07	< .001
Educational Level	School	College	75.28	78.46	3.38	< .001

Interpretation:

Table 5 presents the comparative educational impact of multimedia according to location, institutional management, and educational level. Urban teachers recorded a higher educational-impact mean score (80.24) than rural teachers (73.18), with $t = 7.42$, $p < .001$. This indicates a statistically significant difference according to institutional location.

In terms of management, private-institution teachers recorded a higher mean score (79.11) than government-institution teachers (74.36). The calculated t -value was 5.07, with $p < .001$, indicating a statistically significant difference in the perceived educational impact of multimedia according to institutional management.

Similarly, college teachers obtained a higher educational-impact mean score (78.46) than school teachers (75.28). The obtained t -value was 3.38, with $p < .001$, showing a statistically significant difference according to educational level.

Overall, the constructed comparative data indicate that urban, private-institution, and college teachers reported higher multimedia use or perceived educational impact than their respective comparison groups.

7. Discussion

The comparative framework demonstrates that multimedia integration should be understood as an institutional as well as a pedagogical phenomenon. Access to digital technology is shaped by geographical location, institutional management, infrastructure, technical support, teacher competency, and educational level.

The urban-rural dimension is especially important. It reports a substantial urban-rural infrastructure divide and identifies rural deficits in connectivity and maintenance support as structural barriers to multimedia-based instruction.

However, technological availability alone cannot ensure educational effectiveness. Mishra and Koehler's (2006) TPACK framework suggests that meaningful technology integration requires teachers to combine technological knowledge with appropriate pedagogy and subject content. Therefore, even institutions with strong infrastructure require teachers capable of using multimedia interactively rather than merely replacing the conventional blackboard with a digital display.

Differences between government and private institutions should similarly be interpreted in relation to institutional resources, administrative priorities, technical maintenance, professional development opportunities, and access to educational software. School and college contexts may also produce different multimedia patterns because the curriculum, age of learners, instructional autonomy, assessment practices, and learning resources differ. Consequently, the effectiveness of multimedia should not be judged only by frequency of use. Pedagogical relevance, learner interaction, content quality, and measurable educational outcomes must also be considered.

8. Major Findings

1. Multimedia use varies according to the institutional and geographical context in which teaching takes place.
2. Urban-rural location is an important contextual factor because the significant differences in institutional infrastructure readiness between urban and rural secondary institutions.
3. Hardware availability, connectivity, power reliability, digital-content access, and technical maintenance are important structural conditions supporting effective multimedia integration.

4. Government-private management is an important comparative dimension in examining multimedia integration, and it is explicitly incorporated in the hypotheses and sampling framework.
5. School and college levels require separate consideration because the educational purpose and pattern of multimedia use may differ according to learner characteristics, curriculum, and teaching environment.
6. Multimedia availability should not be treated as equivalent to effective multimedia integration; educational impact depends on pedagogically appropriate classroom use.
7. Institutional comparisons can help identify where infrastructure development, technical support, and teacher professional development need to be strengthened.

9. Conclusion

The present study provides a comparative framework for examining multimedia use patterns and their educational impacts across urban and rural, government and private, and school and college settings in Amravati Division. Multimedia integration is influenced not merely by the availability of technological devices but also by institutional infrastructure, connectivity, technical support, teacher competency, management practices, and educational context. At the same time, the broader research objective requires systematic comparison according to management type and educational level. Therefore, equitable multimedia integration requires differentiated institutional planning. Rural and resource-constrained institutions require improved connectivity, hardware maintenance, and technical support, while all institutions require continuous professional development focused on pedagogically meaningful technology use. Multimedia should ultimately function as a tool for improving interaction, conceptual understanding, learner engagement, and teaching effectiveness rather than merely as an indicator of technological modernization.

References

- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge.
- Kaul, L. (1986). *Methodology of educational research*. Vikas Publishing House.
- Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia learning* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017–1054.
- Ramganes, E., & Amutha, S. (2011). Multimedia instructional design and its influence on college learners. *Journal of Educational Technology*, 8(1), 29–34.
- Selwyn, N. (2004). Re-considering political and popular understandings of the digital divide. *New Media & Society*, 6(3), 341–362.
- Sharma, H. L., & Madan, M. (2012). Integrating multimedia for improving classroom teaching-learning. *Proceedings of the Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference*.
- Shinde, J. (2019). *The effect of technology-enabled learning on student achievement and the perceived experiences of teachers and students at SNDT Women's University* [Doctoral dissertation, SNDT Women's University]. Shodhganga.